

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

Arizona Corporation Commission 2015 MAY 15 P 2: 28

SUSAN BITTER SMITH - Chairman CKETED

BOB BURNS

MAY 1 5 2015

AZ CORP COMMISS. DOCKET CONTROL

RECEIVED

ORIGINAL

5 DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF YOUR CHINO MEADOWS II WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A RATE INCREASE.

DOCKET NO. W-02370A-14-0231

PROCEDURAL ORDER

(Continuing Hearing and Modifying Procedural Deadlines)

BY THE COMMISSION:

On June 30, 2014, Chino Meadows II Water Company, Inc. ("Chino Meadows" or "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a rate increase. The application noted that Chino Meadows' affiliate Granite Mountain Water Company ("Granite Mountain") also filed a rate application in a separate docket (Docket No. W-2467A-14-0230) on the same day. Chino Meadows requested that its application be processed and heard concurrently with Granite Mountain's application in order to assure that cost allocations will be consistent in the two cases. To the extent necessary to accommodate the joint processing of the two applications, Chino Meadows waived the timeclock requirements set by the Commission's rules.

On October 7, 2014, a Rate Case Procedural Order was issued setting a hearing to commence on May 4, 2015, and establishing associated procedural deadlines to accommodate the parties' requests for extended discovery timeframes.

On December 10, 2014, Chino Meadows filed an Affidavit of Publication.

On December 12, 2014, Chino Meadows filed an Affidavit of Customer Notice.

No intervention requests were filed.

On February 11, 2015, Staff and Chino Meadows jointly filed a Stipulation to Extension for Time, requesting that the hearing be continued.

On February 18, 2015, by Procedural Order, the hearing was continued to July 13, 2015, and

2728

associated procedural deadlines were also continued. As the May 4, 2015 hearing date had been publicly noticed, that date was reserved for public comment.

On May 4, 2015, a public comment hearing was convened. No members of the public appeared.

On May 8, 2015, Staff and the Company filed their Second Stipulation to Extension of Time ("Stipulation"). The Stipulation states that the schedule set by the February 18, 2015, Procedural Order was based in significant part on the progression of certain infrastructure projects toward completion, with supporting documentation furnished to Staff by April 1, 2015. The Stipulation states that the anticipated construction schedule was not met, and that Staff now needs additional time to complete its rate case analysis and testimony. Staff and the Company propose a new hearing date of August 18, 2015.

The request is reasonable. The hearing should be continued, with associated modifications to the current procedural schedule.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the hearing in this matter currently scheduled to commence on July 13, 2015, is hereby continued to commence on September 23, 2015, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as is practical, in Hearing Room No. 1 at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, and shall continue, if necessary, on September 24, 2015, at 9:30 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the **pre-hearing conference** currently scheduled to be held on **July 8, 2015**, at 2:00 p.m. is hereby vacated. Procedural issues related to the hearing will be discussed at the commencement of the hearing on August 23, 2015.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Staff Report/direct testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by Staff shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before July 15, 2015.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the rebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by Chino Meadows shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before August 12, 2015.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the surrebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be

presented at hearing by Staff shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before September 10, 2015.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the rejoinder testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by Chino Meadows shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before September 18, 2015.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all testimony filed shall include a table of contents listing the issues discussed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all filings shall be made by 4:00 p.m. on the date the filing is due, unless otherwise indicated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall prepare, jointly or individually, and bring to the pre-hearing conference, copies of an issues matrix setting forth all disputed issues in the case, the position of each party on each disputed issue, whether the disputed issue remains in dispute or has been resolved, and the manner in which it was resolved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any objections to prefiled testimony or exhibits shall be filed by September 22, 2015.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any substantive corrections, revisions, or supplements to prefiled testimony, with the exception of rejoinder testimony, shall be reduced to writing and filed no later than five calendar days before the witness is scheduled to testify. Substantive corrections, revisions, or supplements to prefiled rejoinder testimony shall be reduced to writing and presented on the first day of hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any motion filed in this matter, other than a motion to intervene, that is not ruled upon by the Commission within 20 calendar days of the filing date of the motion shall be deemed denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any response to a motion, other than a motion to intervene, shall be filed within five calendar days of the filing date of the motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any reply related to a motion shall be filed within five calendar days of the filing date of the response to the motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's

Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules 31, 38 and 42 and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to practice of law and admission *pro hac vice*.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Arizona Supreme Court Rule 42). Representation before the Commission includes appearances at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled for discussion unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party to this matter may opt to receive service of all Procedural and Recommended Orders issued by the Commission's Hearing Division in this matter via e-mail rather than U.S. Mail, as permitted under A.A.C. R14-3-107(B). To exercise this option, a party shall send to hearingsdivision@azcc.gov, from the e-mail address at which the party desires to receive service, an e-mail request including the name of the party on whom service is to be made and the docket number for this matter. After a party receives an e-mail confirmation of its request from hearingsdivision@azcc.gov, the party will receive all future Procedural and Recommended Orders issued by the Hearing Division in this matter via e-mails to the address provided by the party, unless and until the party withdraws its request. Service of a document via e-mail shall be considered complete upon the sending of an e-mail containing the document to the e-mail address provided by a party, regardless of whether the party receives or reads the e-mail containing the document.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this _______ day of May, 2015.

TEENA JIBILIAN

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

1	this 151 day of May, 2015 to:
2	Craig A. Marks
3	CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC 10645 N. Tatum Blvd, Suite 200-676
4	Phoenix, AZ 85028 Attorney for Chino Meadows II Water Co.,
5	Inc.
6	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Bridget Humphrey, Staff Attorney
7	Matthew Laudone, Staff Attorney Legal Division
8	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
11	1200 West Washington Street
12	Phoenix, AZ 85007
13	COASH & COASH, INC. Court Reporting, Video and
14	Videoconferencing 1802 North 7 th Street
15	Phoenix, AZ 85006
16	By: Plinguera
17	Rebecca Unquera Assistant to Teena Jibilian
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	